UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT NORTHERN DISTRICT OF OHIO EASTERN DIVISION-AKRON

LISA YOHO)
2317 4 th St. NW Front)
Canton, OH 44708)
Plaintiff,))
VS.)
GC SERVICES, LP) CIVIL COMPLAINT
6330 Gulfton St)
Houston, Texas 77081) (Unlawful Debt Collection Practices)
)
Defendant.)

LISA YOHO (Plaintiff), by her attorneys, KROHN & MOSS, LTD., alleges the following against GC SERVICES, LP (Defendant):

Count I of Plaintiff's Complaint is based on the Fair Debt Collection Practices Act, 15
 U.S.C. 1692 et seq. (FDCPA).

JURISDICTION AND VENUE

- 2. Jurisdiction of this court arises pursuant to 15 U.S.C. 1692k(d), which states that such actions may be brought and heard before "any appropriate United States district court without regard to the amount in controversy," and 28 U.S.C. 1367 grants this court supplemental jurisdiction over the state claims contained therein.
- 3. Defendant conducts business in the State of Ohio, and therefore, personal jurisdiction is established.
- 4. Venue is proper pursuant to 28 U.S.C. 1391(b)(2).

PARTIES

- 5. Plaintiff is a natural person residing in Canton, Stark County, Ohio.
- 6. Plaintiff is a consumer as that term is defined by 15 U.S.C. 1692a(3), and according to Defendant, Plaintiff allegedly owes a debt as that term is defined by 15 U.S.C. 1692a(5).
- 7. Defendant is a debt collector as that term is defined by 15 U.S.C. 1692a(6) and sought to collect a consumer debt from Plaintiff.
- 8. Defendant is a debt collection company with its headquarters in Houston, Texas.
- 9. Defendant acted through its agents, employees, officers, members, directors, heirs, successors, assigns, principals, trustees, sureties, subrogees, representatives, and insurers.

FACTUAL ALLEGATIONS

- 10. Defendant places collection calls to Plaintiff seeking and demanding payment for an alleged debt.
- 11. Plaintiff's alleged debt arises from transactions for personal, household, and/or family purposes.
- 12. Defendant places collection calls to Plaintiff at 330-453-22XX.
- 13. In or around 2015 Defendant, though its representative "Nicole" placed a collection call to Plaintiff and left a voicemail message.
- 14. In the voicemail message, Defendant's representative failed to disclose the name of the company placing the call, failed to state that the call was being placed by a debt collector, and failed to state that the call was being placed to collect an alleged debt. *See* Transcribed Voicemail Message attached hereto as Exhibit "A".

- 15. In the voicemail message, Defendant's representative directed Plaintiff to return the call to 866-749-7274 extension 6143, which is a number that belongs to Defendant. See Exhibit "A".
- 16. In or around 2015 Defendant, though its representative "Michelle" placed a collection call to Plaintiff and left a voicemail message.
- 17. In the voicemail message, Defendant's representative failed to disclose the name of the company placing the call, failed to state that the call was being placed by a debt collector, and failed to state that the call was being placed to collect an alleged debt. *See* Transcribed Voicemail Message attached hereto as Exhibit "B".
- 18. In the voicemail message, Defendant's representative directed Plaintiff to return the call to 866-749-7274 extension 6143, which is a number that belongs to Defendant. *See* Exhibit "B".
- 19. Defendant is using false, deceptive and misleading means in connection with attempting to collect a debt by not identifying the purpose of its phone calls or that they are an attempt to collect a debt.

COUNT I DEFENDANT VIOLATED THE FAIR DEBT COLLECTION PRACTICES ACT, (FDCPA), 15 U.S.C. § 1692 et seq.

- 20. Defendant violated the FDCPA based on the following:
 - a. Defendant violated §1692d(6) of the FDCPA by placing collection calls to Plaintiff without meaningful disclosure of the caller's identity.
 - b. Defendant violated §1692e(11) of the FDCPA by failing to disclose in its communications with Plaintiff that the communications were from a debt collector.

WHEREFORE, Plaintiff respectfully prays that judgment be entered against the Defendant for the following:

- 21. Statutory damages of \$1,000.00 pursuant to the Fair Debt Collection Practices Act, 15 U.S.C. 1692k,
- 22. Costs and reasonable attorneys' fees pursuant to the Fair Debt Collection Practices Act, 15 U.S.C. 1692k, and
- 23. Any other relief that this Honorable Court deems appropriate.

RESPECTFULLY SUBMITTED,

By: /s/ David Tannehill

David Tannehill Attorney for Plaintiff Krohn & Moss, Ltd. 8043 Corporate Circle, Suite 3 North Royalton, OH 44133 phone: (323) 988-2400 x 204

e-mail:dtannehill@consumerlawcenter.com

EXHIBIT A

Case: 5:15-cv-01998-SL Doc #: 1 Filed: 09/25/15 6 of 8. PageID #: 6

"Hi Mrs. Yoho this Nicole (unintelligible) please give me a call back at 866-749-7274 extension 6143. Thank you."

Case: 5:15-cv-01998-SL Doc #: 1 Filed: '09/25/15 7 of 8. PageID #: 7

EXHIBIT B

Case: 5:15-cv-01998-SL Doc #: 1 Filed: 09/25/15 8 of 8. PageID #: 8

"Hi Mrs. Yoho this Michelle (unintelligible) please give me a call back at 866-749-7274 extension 6143. Thank you."